

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_100272
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis of novel 3-methyl-1-(5-methyl-1,3-benzoxazol-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-5-amine derivatives and In-Vitro evaluation of their antitumor and antibacterial activities.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes,it is important as all the properties of benzoxazole are medicinal.Synthesis and use of drugs from this compound can be useful to the medical world and the humanity in general.</p> <p>“Synthesis and in-vitro evaluation of the antitumor and antibacterial activities of benzoxazole using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Mass Spectral methods”would be more appropriate.</p> <p>Yes,but the abstract has to be divided into all the subheadings as in the original article.More keywords are to be added. Material method section should contain the place,duration and time of study.Institutional ethical approval has to be mentioned.Result and discussion should be given under different headings.In the graphs,on the Y axis,the unit of measurement should be mentioned. Yes,the manuscript is scientifically ok. Yes,the references are sufficient.Few of them are too old.at least,they should have been after 2015.DOI should be mentioned in the references. Nothing is mentioned about the funding and the conflicts of interest.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article contains grammatical errors in the framing of the sentences.They should be thoroughly corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall,the aricle is good.With the above mentioned corrections,I find it suitable for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyanki
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi Memorial Medical College, India