

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_99581
Title of the Manuscript:	GC-MS characterization and computational assessment of phytochemicals in Heliotropium indicum ethanolic leaves extract
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses a significant topic GC-MS characterization and computational evaluation of phytochemicals in Heliotrope, and showed important results, that H. indicum plant extract is a potential anti-PCa.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and I consider it to be complete and easy to understand.</p> <p>4. I consider the subsections and structure of the manuscript to be adequate, as well as the image presented.</p> <p>5. I certify that the manuscript is scientifically correct and scientifically important to be published so that the scientific community has access to the manuscript's content, and that they can develop new research.</p> <p>6. I assess the references as sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. I assess the language/English quality of the article as adequate for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Nauside Pessoa Da Silva
Department, University & Country	UNINASSAU - Centro Universitário Mauricio De Nassau, Brasil