

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPSS_100471</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Evaluation of F1 crosses of brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) landraces for fruit yield, quality and tolerance to bacterial wilt</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>This article is very important in the scientific world, specifically for eggplant which is an important part of its benefits in life. The title is in accordance with the contents. Maybe you can add a little information regarding eggplant cultivation. Maybe a conclusion can be added</p>                                     |   |
| <p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>   |   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <p>Overall this article is very good, however there are a number of things that can still be added, such as conclusions that should be placed after the results and before the references (not in the abstract), then for materials and methods in more detail so that the reader understands better what was done in this study.</p> |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                           |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Aprian Aji Santoso</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Indonesia</b>          |