

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_98551</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>GENDER COMPARISON OF SOME APOLIPOPROTEIN AND LIPID PROFILES IN APPARENTLY HEALTHY ADULT MALE AND FEMALE SUBJECTS AT NNAMDI AZIKIWE UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL, NNEWI, SOUTH-EASTERN NIGERIA.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>For the better understanding of lipid metabolism and disease causation, this article may provide knowledge at molecular level.</b></p> <p><b>Title is too big, try to omit some words.</b></p> <p>Too much about research background. Too less about result of this study.</p> <p>Methodology incomplete:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Study design not mentioned.</li> <li>• Institutional ethical approval not present.</li> <li>• Plan of statistical analyses not written.</li> </ul> <p>Revision required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only demographic variable (age) is compared and it showed significant different. Age difference must have an effect on lipid and lipoprotein level.</li> <li>• Statistical analyses not correct; revision required (t-test is appropriate).</li> </ul>	

## Review Form 1.7

<p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As this study enrolled healthy subjects, comparing study reports also should enrolled healthy subject.</li> <li>Too many references; however, acceptable.</li> <li>Not uniformly written both in-text (last paragraph of discussion) and in references chapter.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acceptable English.</li> <li>Few spelling to be corrected.</li> <li>Preposition to be revised.</li> <li>A paragraph must have more than one sentence.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>	<p>SPSS means “Statistical Package for the Social Sciences”</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>K. M. Hafizur Rahman</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Sylhet Women’s Medical College, Bangladesh</b></p>