

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99684
Title of the Manuscript:	Floristic diversity of Raithan range of Pir Panjal Forest Division, Jammu and Kashmir, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1/ The topic of this paper is relevant, timely and interest to the audience of this journal. This paper is based on rigorous academic standards.</p> <p>2/ Yes</p> <p>3/ Yes</p> <p>4/ split the result part with the discussion part</p> <p>5/ Yes, the research methodology for the study is appropriate. and The results of analysis are correctly interpreted</p> <p>6/ References are incomplete. Ie. Check its uniformity (comma, inverted comma, full stop, bracket, italics, and mention full name of journals</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>the quality of the English language is clear and also comprehensive</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is acceptable in the present form, but after revision</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saidi Boubakr
Department, University & Country	Ibn Khaldoun University, Algeria