

Editor's Comment:

I have read the reviewer's reports and the author responses, actually, the author responded to many comments but still others.

It seems the idea of research is very good, but the manuscript is still not structured well as an academic scientific article. Therefore I recommend accepting the manuscript just after taking the following comments and the reviewer's comments as well, into consideration.

1- There is no Introduction, Research objectives, methodology, discussion is too shallow and also results are not clarified well.

2- The abstract is better considered as an introduction

3- The authors use I, we, they many times, and the language is not scientific language in some paragraphs (e. g. abstract).

4- To accept the manuscript for publication, the author should re-write it in a scientific manner in terms of the structure and the main components of the scientific manuscript.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Hani Rezgallah Al-Hamed Al-Amoush

Associate Professor, Institute of Earth and Environmental, Al al-Bayt University, Jordan.