

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_96918
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Industrial Water Pollution on Human and Livestock Health in Bhavani River basin of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The necessity and innovation of the article should be presented to the introduction.</p> <p>2-You need to statement aims and variables of study in the introduction.</p> <p>3-You need to statement what is it most of the problem health in in Bhavani River basin of Tamil Nadu?in the introduction</p> <p>4- You need to a table which compare results of this study and other similar studies.</p> <p>5- References are old, please update references.</p> <p>6- The Table2 needs to be checked.</p> <p>7-The "Statistical analysis" section should be added to the manuscript and use Statistical test.</p> <p>8-You need to same format of references</p> <p>9--Please use the Figures for presentation and interpretation of the results.</p> <p>10- Discussion is very poor. The presented manuscript is "half-cooked" without in-depth discussion. The manuscript needs more adequate discussion with supporting latest references.</p> <p>11-The manuscript contains numerous typos, stylistic issues, and some grammatical errors. The manuscript should be checked once for any grammatical as well as typological errors like somewhere spaces and comma.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdolmajid Fadaei
Department, University & Country	Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Iran