

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_99725
Title of the Manuscript:	Left Main Total Chronic Occlusion In A Patient With Colorectal Cancer: A Case Report Of A Rare And Severe Localization Of Coronary Artery Disease
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is expected that a case report describes a patient case that is of particular interest due to its novelty and potential message for clinical practice and provide valuable teaching material demonstrating both classical and unusual presentations which may confront the practitioner. The case report presented does not meet these expectations.</p> <p>It is expected that case reports have the following sections: Aims, Presentation of Case, Discussion and Conclusion. The case report presented does not include all the mentioned sections properly.</p> <p>Suggested reading: Guidelines To Writing A Clinical Case Report. Heart Views. 2017;18(3):104-105. doi:10.4103/1995-705X.217857</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	English needs to be improved. Mistakes distract from the overall quality of the work.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mariana Suarez Bagnasco
Department, University & Country	UCU, Uruguay