

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_99720
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing the causes and consequences of non-compliance with the operating program and their consequences
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. No. The "Title" is inaccurate and confusing. The "Title" modification is needed. Please, it is preferable to change it to "Non-compliance with the Operating Program-Analyzing the Causes and Consequences".</p> <p>3. A. No. Regarding the "Background" of the "Abstract" please, change (The aim of this study was to...) to (The study aimed to...).</p> <p>3. B. Regarding the "Results" of the "Abstract"; please, change (The most frequently canceled pathologies were...) to (The most frequently canceled surgical specialties were...).</p> <p>3. C. In your study, numerical and the % is the language of the "Results" of the "Abstract". Please, support the "Results" with the study percentages."</p> <p>3. D. The "Abstract" in the "original research article" should be organized into subheadings "Objective, Background, Methods, Results, and Conclusions". So, there is no need for a "Discussion" section in the "Abstract". Reconstruction of the "Abstract" is recommended.</p> <p>4. A. Yes. But the following 3 sentences (Of these, 215 were cancelled, resulting in an incidence rate of 7.11%. In addition, 167 surgical procedures were added to the surgical schedule, with an incidence rate of 5.5%. A total of 382 patients had their operative schedule changed, representing an incidence rate of 12.61%.) in the "Methods" section are "misplaced". Please, transfer them to the "Results" section and change them accordingly.</p> <p>4. B. The subheading "Statical analysis is also "misplaced". Please, transfer it to the "Methods" section" section and change them accordingly.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. A. Yes. But unfortunately, there is no lonely Reference style. The author (s) used the Vancouver referencing (numeric part) in all except Reference No. [1, 2, and 16]. So unification for Reference style is an essential need.</p> <p>6. B. Some "Reference indexing; No. [6,9, 12,14,15,16,17, and 18]" was neglected. Please, provide the defective indexing.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are several "Grammatical" mistakes. So, corrections and language editing are essential.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasser Mohammed Hassanain El Sayed
Department, University & Country	Egypt