

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_99892
Title of the Manuscript:	Functional rehabilitation of hemiplegic patients following a stroke at the Yaoundé General Hospital
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorin.com/index.php/AJORRIN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No. Functional rehabilitation of hemiplegic patients following a stroke at the Yaoundé General Hospital: a prospective observational study</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No.</p> <p>Yes, but reference formatting is incorrect</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No. Language Needs major corrections	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>PICOT to be mentioned in the introduction</p> <p>Sample size calculation to be mentioned</p> <p>Tables of baseline characters, and Tables of results, only two tables are necessary.</p> <p>Prospective Cohort study design. This is not interventional design. Authors are not comparing / changing intervention in the groups.</p> <p>Statistical analysis for paired t test, for before and after scores is also necessary.</p> <p>Generalisability and Limitations should be mentioned in the discussion.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amol Kalyanrao Joshi
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India