

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_99874
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOCHEMICAL SYMMETRIZATION/DESYMMETRIZATION OF ORGANIC COMPOUNDS. DENDRIMERIC RELATIONSHIP WITH MOLECULAR FORMULAS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The study is important for all branches of science and literature, especially biochemistry and organic chemistry, as it presents a series of symmetization/desymentization reactions.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, past studies are referenced and the study is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but there are some substitutions that need to be corrected, e.g. Heading 2, 2.1.</p> <p>Yes, I think it is correct.</p> <p>References in the text are sufficient. The references are mostly out-of-date as they are based on formulas, but out-of-date references are acceptable. However, many of the references mentioned in the text are not given in the list of references (I have highlighted them in yellow on the text).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	I could not see any scientific deficiency/error. I have pointed out the spelling mistakes in the title and in the manuscript. Authors can make corrections in the added text. Thanks to authors for their valuable study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sevgi Güneş-Durak
Department, University & Country	Nevsehir Hacı Bektas Veli University, Turkey