

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Medicine and Health   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJMAH_99899   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Analysis of Radiation Dose Distribution in Breast Cancer Cases and Organs at Risk (Oar) Using Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (Imrt) Technique on A Linear Accelerator (Linac) Equipment With a Photon Beam Energy of 6 MV at the Radiotherapy Sub-Installation of RSUP Prof. Dr. I.G.N.G. Ngoerah |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | It is very important, considering the topic in question. Any research that will increase the knowledge and treatment of cancer should be encouraged.<br><br>Title is too long, method of the research must not be part of it<br><b>Radiation Dose Distribution Analysis in Breast Cancer and Organs at Risk (OaR)</b><br><br>Yes<br><br>They are good<br><br>It is correct and important<br><br>References are recent and sufficient. However, there should be consistency in format (e.g, abbreviations following the main name or vice versa). |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | The English is up to standard  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | Nice work generally  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Ahamefule, Augustus Kelechi</b>                                   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Nigeria</b> |