

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_99696
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CARBOHYDRATE TYPE ON BRAIN COMPOSITION AND SENESENCE IN AGING, HYPERINSULINEMIA-PRONE OBESE LA/Ntul//cp RATS
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Interesting, informative, implementable knowledge</p> <p>Appropriate</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive and informative</p> <p>Subsections and structure is appropriate</p> <p>Scientifically informative and interesting</p> <p>Add recent references</p> <p>Review of literature is required</p> <p>Discussion is in detail</p> <p>References are in proper order</p> <p>Sentences are appropriate</p> <p>Discussion is adequate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Correct the spelling mistakes and requires typographical corrections</p> <p>Grammatical correction to be done</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction is good</p> <p>Conclusion is proper</p> <p>Message to the readers is clear and old</p> <p>Paper is good</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharan Badiger
Department, University & Country	BLDE (Deemed to be University), India