

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_100435
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Social Media and Learners' Creative Learning Skills
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This paper is important for the scientific community, especially for creative learning skills.</p> <p>2. The article title given is by the article's contents.</p> <p>3. The abstract has fulfilled the important points. however, sampling techniques, data collection, and data analysis are not explained.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Scientifically, the manuscript is correct.</p> <p>6. The article references are in the latest edition.</p> <p>7. Please simplify the words in the abstract. Maximum use of 250 words. it would be better if the abstract composition contains the objectives, methodology, and research results which are explained briefly, concisely, and clearly.</p> <p>8. preferably keywords describe the topic or research variables. for example social media, learners skills, creative learning.</p> <p>9. Please add GAP research analysis so that the novelty of this research is clear.</p> <p>10. In conclusion, please do not make it in points, but make it in paragraph form. where the first paragraph is the conclusion of the research and the second paragraph is a recommendation.</p> <p>11. in writing references, please follow the rules of writing that have been determined. for example, using APA style or something else.</p> <p>12. Kindly pay attention to writing techniques that must be adapted to the journal template.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In general the article is good, coherent, and interesting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia