

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99163
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the impact of Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana on the socio-economic status of the rural people under Wozhuro Rural Development Block, Wokha District, Nagaland
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	There is somewhat importance to work on socio-economic parameters but there is dire need to link them with research problem. Title is fine Abstract is incomprehensive. Major revisions required (Comments added in manuscript file) Acceptable to some extent A huge gap exists for scientific approval of this manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/General comments	Introduction section is weak and unclear. The manuscript also lacks latest references (at least from the last five years) to support arguments/case. The author (s) needs to add more in this section to strengthen their case. The author (s) is suggested to follow guidelines prescribed by the Journal about references and maintain the prescribed style.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gulfam Hasan
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agricultural Extension, Education and Rural Development, University of Agriculture, Pakistan