

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99163
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the impact of Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana on the socio-economic status of the rural people under Wozhuro Rural Development Block, Wokha District, Nagaland
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript is worth for scientific community as it highlighted the contribution of good village roads network for social and economic development of rural communities. 2. The title of the article probably will need to be modified to attract attention of individuals from all over the world. I would suggest the words "Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana" replaced with nationwide village road connectivity plan in India (PMGSY). The way it is, looks like something specific for India but its content is applicable worldwide. 3. The abstract did not introduce the subject (Rural roads and its importance), as well the methodology and findings are well written but with number of unnecessary wordings can omit some words and add necessary information based on methodology and findings. 4. Introduction and literature review need to be merged and consider it as introduction part. It is necessary to make references on some of the statements on the introductory part. 5. The manuscript is well written and deserve to be considered as scientific manuscript. 6. The references a sufficient except on the introductory part there some statements without references	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daniel Pius Mdelele</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania</b>