

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_100213
Title of the Manuscript:	Smart Greenhouse Monitoring System using Blynk IoT app
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The topic is relevant and will probably appeal to the readers of the journal since it covers popular IoT topic and its use for greenhouse solution.</p> <p>This manuscript is in terms of its length and content more suitable for conference, but it is technically correct and scientifically motivated and can be published in the journal.</p> <p>Suggestion to authors is to include brief explanation of what makes their solution different comparing to other solutions.</p> <p>The Section Introduction should include how the paper is organized (sections and sub-sections). It would help to understand the structure of the paper from the beginning.</p> <p>Brief description of challenges during research should be added in the manuscript. Also, authors should provide explanation of main drawbacks and limitations of their research.</p> <p>Suggestion to authors is to expand conclusion and to include better explanation of recommendation for future work (e.g., other parameters, different testbeds and use cases etc.).</p> <p>The manuscript should be formatted according to Author Guidelines (The text should be prepared in single column format etc.): (https://journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/about/submissions#authorGuidelines).</p> <p>Reference formatting should be in accordance with Author Guidelines, too.</p> <p>Some abbreviations should be explained when they are first mentioned in the text (e.g., LDR).</p> <p>Figure 2 should be redrawn since the text is not clear.</p> <p>Table 1 should indicate the currency for the costs.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jelena Suh
Department, University & Country	Serbia