

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_100213
Title of the Manuscript:	Smart Greenhouse Monitoring System using Blynk IoT app
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. YES.</p> <p>2. YES</p> <p>3. Improve the abstract. Add phrases such as: In this paper we study or we proposeWhat is the main approach of this paper? What is the main contribution, Which are the main results?</p> <p>4. Improve the section Literature Review. Add more references and descriptions from these main related works, and if possible, add one table comparing the main results from them. And compare these results and characteristics to your approach.</p> <p>5. In part it is o.k Add more data and information answering the objectives described in page 1 column 2.</p> <p>6. It is o.k If possible, add more references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is ok	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In section 1, Introduction, the authors write: Specifically, this study aims to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Investigate the impact of a smart greenhouse system on agricultural productivity and plant growth optimization. 2. Examine the effectiveness of a smart greenhouse system in reducing labor expenses and improving resource efficiency. 3. Evaluate the feasibility and functionality of using the Blynk IoT app to remotely monitor and regulate greenhouse environmental factors. 4. Assess the efficacy of a smart greenhouse system that utilizes the Blynk IoT app in mitigating environmental risks, such as fire threats. 5. Provide recommendations for stakeholders and producers on how to implement and operate a smart greenhouse system that integrates the Blynk IoT app in greenhouse agriculture. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors need to add more information in the Section about the results and offer pieces of information and data to answer these questions. - Add one section explaining more details about the development and functionalities of IoT app - 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edward David Moreno
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Sergipe, Brazil