

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98973
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of integrated nutrient management and plant geometry on nutrient uptake of ajowan (<i>Trachyspermum ammi</i> L. Sprague) in Southern zone of Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>The topic needs to change. It should be Introduction of integrated nutrient management and plant geometry on nutrient uptake of ajowan (<i>Trachyspermum ammi</i> L. Sprague) in Southern zone of Telangana</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive but major findings of the study should be added to improve the quality of the abstract.</p> <p>Sub sections of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Manuscript is scientifically correct and have some practical importance.</p> <p>Some more references need to add to justify the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is grammatically correct.	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript can be accepted after some corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arindam Ghosh
Department, University & Country	Sister Nivedita University, India