

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_99224
Title of the Manuscript:	LEFT VENTRICULAR NON-COMPACTION: CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	1. This is a well-written article overall. 2. There are some typo and grammatical errors in few places. Please consider to rectify them. For example, sentence that contains the description "marked thickening trabeculation" can be edited grammatically. 3. I would recommend to change the word "concluded" in the echocardiogram report. You could instead write that the findings was consistent or concerning for LV noncompaction. 4. In the MRI findings, I would recommend to replace the word "pillar" with appropriate anatomic landmark term. Also, please replace the word "new cavity" in the cardiac MRI report which doesn't clearly describe the findings. 5. Replace the words "systolic and diastolic" to systole and diastole.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes		
Optional/General comments			

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Senthil S. Balasubramanian
Department, University & Country	University of Chicago, USA