

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_99224
Title of the Manuscript:	LEFT VENTRICULAR NON-COMPACTION: CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Dear Editors Thank you for asking me to review this paper entitled as "LEFT VENTRICULAR NON-COMPACTION: CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE ". This is a case report of an uncommon cause of cardiomyopathy with emphasis on echocardiographic findings. Clinician should be aware of this condition and need to high vigilance for diagnosis. 1- Yes. Although rare, however is important for early detection. 2- Yes 3- Yes 4- Yes is acceptable. 5- Yes 6- This is a case report manuscript and I think references are adequate and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Reza Bakhshandeh
Department, University & Country	Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran