

## Original Research Article

### FARMERS' PERCEPTION OF CLIMATE VARIABILITY EFFECTS ON ARABLE CROP PRODUCTIVITY IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA

#### Abstract

This study investigated the perceptions of arable farmers in Ondo State, Nigeria, about the effects of climate variability on the production of their crops, as well as the coping methods employed to reduce the perceived consequences. Using a multistage random sample approach, 120 participants were selected for the study. The gathered information was evaluated using descriptive statistics and correlation. The average age of the farmers was determined to be 48.44 years, and the majority of them hold a postsecondary degree (45%). Findings indicated that a rise in temperature, an increase in precipitation, a longer rainy season, and a shorter dry season were the most noticeable indicators of climate variability. In addition, the majority of farmers reported that a shorter dry season (71.7%), a longer rainy season (65%), a rise in rainfall (55.8%), and an increase in temperature (50.8%) enhanced agricultural output. Crop rotation (83.3%), planting of different crops (82.5%), adoption of mixed cropping (78.3%), moving to a different area (66.7%), use of chemicals, fertilizer, and pesticides (65.8%), different planting dates, increasing farm size, and planting of cover crops (65%), and planting of different varieties (64.2%) are the major coping strategies adopted by the majority of farmers. Additionally, planting different crops, planting different varieties, planting at different times, relocating to different areas of land, expanding farm size, adopting an irrigation practice, and employing chemicals, fertilizer, and pesticides were statistically significant in relation to farming experience. This study suggests that farmers are well-informed about climate variability and the dangers and challenges it poses to production, and that they take the necessary precautions to manage these risks. This study advises providing farmers with anticipated climatic information so that they are fully informed, prepared, and proactive for future planting seasons, as opposed to being only reactive. Similarly, both the public and commercial extension organizations should continue to educate the public about the realities of climate change and its potential effects on the production of arable crops.

**Keywords:** Perception, Climate Change, Coping Strategies, Arable Crop, Productivity

**Comment [o1]:** Your study is about climate variability but here you mention the key word is climate change. There is a difference between climate change and climate variability. Which one is your study about?

## Introduction

The agriculture sector employs more than 70 percent of Nigeria's active labour force. As a result, agriculture creates a tremendous burden on the environment in order to provide humans with food and fibre (Apata, Samuel, and Adeola, 2009). Regardless of farmlands and crops, climate plays a decisive impact in agricultural productivity (Akintonde, Shuaib and Dasgupta 2016; Adegandjou and Dominique, 2018). The adverse effects of climate change have an impact on agricultural production, from planting to harvesting. Similar to the rest of sub-Saharan Africa, Nigeria is very vulnerable to the effects of climate change (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2007; Akintonde, Shuaib, and Dasgupta, 2016). Concerns regarding the effects of climatic unpredictability have prompted a substantial amount of research on agriculture and climatic variability. According to Fadumila (2012), climate variability is expected to impact livestock and crop output, as well as other agricultural system components and hydrologic input sources.

**Comment [o2]:** Do you mean climate variability or climate change?

The majority of arable crops consumed in Nigeria are produced by small-scale farmers in the country's south-western area (Akintonde, Shuaib and Dasgupta 2016). These farmers must contend with an inconsistent climate. This is seen by the late arrival of rainfall and the drying up of minor rivers and streams that were formerly believed to run year-round (Apata, Samuel, and Adeola, 2009). As a result, agricultural production among small-scale farmers is unquestionably hampered by climatic factors, especially since about 90 percent of agricultural activities are dependent on rainfall (Fadumila, 2012).

**Comment [o3]:** This is climate variability

Climate influences many aspects of plant development and yields (Sivakumar, Das and Brunini, 2005; Eludoyin, Nevo, Abuloye, Eludoyin, and Awotoye, 2017). Extreme climatic conditions are a key constraint on agricultural production in rain-fed farming systems. These conditions deviate from the norm and are capable of inducing regressions in numerous key environmental indicators, such as air temperature and water balance (Odekune, 2004; Eludoyin et al., 2017). Extreme climatic conditions may be temporary, yet they can have a significant impact on ecological and agricultural growth. This scenario consists of significant rainfall during periods when crops require a dry spell (Maracchi, Sirotenko, and Bindi, 2005). The majority of research has uncovered spatial and temporal changes and frequently bases its conclusions on secondary data; however, few original data have been collected on small-scale farmers' perceptions of the effects of climate variability on crops (Eludoyin et al., 2017).

According to Deressa, Hassan, and Ringler (2009), Below, Schmid, and Sieber (2015), and Chinchongue, Karuku, Mwala, Onyango, and Magalhaes (2015), the perception of climate risk and adaptation on the part of farmers is essential for the development of an agricultural policy that promotes food security. Farmers have implemented a variety of adaptation strategies to mitigate the effects of climate on crop productivity. In addition, Adegandjou and Dominique (2018) found that farmers have an advanced understanding of climate change. These alterations include a reduction in the length of the dry season, an increase in temperature, disturbances of rainfall (early cessation, poor distribution, and rainfall delays), and severe gusts. In addition, they discovered that farmers in Benin employed other techniques, including the use of organic fertiliser, mulching, and crop and livestock diversification. On the other hand, some research has been conducted in Africa on farmers' sensitivity to climate change and adaptation strategies, specifically Comeo (2013) in Ivory Coast, Simelton et al. (2013) in Malawi and Botswana, Assoumana, Ndaiye, Puje, Diourte, and Graiser (2016) in Niger, and Gebreeyesus (2017) in Kenya. Notwithstanding the number of studies conducted in Africa, climate variations like as increases and decreases in precipitation, longer and shorter rainy seasons, extreme heat, and longer hours of sunlight continue to pose a significant danger to the productivity of farmers. Thus, there is still a need for research on farmers' perceptions of the effects of climatic variability and coping strategies. Specific objectives include assessing the farmers' perceptions of climatic variability, evaluating the perceived impact of climatic variability on crop productivity in the study area, identifying the various coping strategies adopted by the farmers, and determining the relationship between the socioeconomic characteristics of the farmers and their coping strategy choices.

**Comment [o4]:** This should be a new paragraph on farmers' sensitivity

## **Methodology**

This research was conducted in Ondo State, Nigeria. Ondo State is situated in the southwestern region of the United States. The state is located between 5 45' and 7 52' North latitude and 4 20' and 6 03' East longitude. (Adelaja, Kamaruddin, and Chiat, 2018). It is bordered by Edo and Delta states to the east, Ogun and Osun states to the west, Ekiti and Kogi states to the north, and the Atlantic Ocean to the south. According to the National Nutrition and

Health Survey (NNHS) (2018), the state's estimated population is 4,863,334 individuals. The state has a land area of approximately 15,000 square kilometres (FOS, 2007). People in this state primarily engage in agriculture, producing rice, maize, yam, tomato, plantain, and other crops.

**3.0** This study's respondents were chosen via a multistage random sampling procedure. The state is divided into agro-climatological zones. First, three Local Government Areas (LGAs) from each zone were selected at random. Second, two villages were chosen at random from each of the s local government areas. Thirdly, ten respondents were selected at random from each of the chosen communities. For this study, a total of one hundred twenty (120) farmers were questioned. For data collection, a standardised questionnaire that had been pre-tested was utilised. Using descriptive statistics and correlation analysis, the questionnaire data were examined. A 5-point Likert scale was used to assess farmers' perceptions regarding the impact of climate variability on their crop yield. Thus, a perception index was constructed to classify the farmers' opinions.

## Results and discussions

### Socio-economic characteristics of farmers in the study area

The socioeconomic characteristics of farmers in the research area are presented in Table 1. Around 59.2% of farmers were between the ages of 41 and 50, while 36.7% were older than 50 and 4.1% were younger than 30. The findings are consistent with those of Eludoyin et al. (2017) and Kebede and Gizachew (2017), who found that the majority of farmers were between the ages of 36 and 55. The gender distribution reveals that the majority of farmers were male (73.3%), while only 26.7% were female. This indicates that males predominate in farming while females are mostly involved in the selling of agricultural products. This is consistent with the findings of Eludoyin et al. (2017), who found that males carry out the majority of farming tasks, while females are predominantly involved in the processing and marketing of farm outputs. In addition, the research found that a greater proportion (87.5%) of farmers were married. This indicates that farmers are receiving more assistance on their farms. Almost 60.8% of the population chose farming as their major occupation, while 39.2% chose non-agricultural work as artisans or civil servants. This suggests that the majority of respondents are farmers, possibly due to the availability of land in the research location.

**Comment [o5]:** Not everywhere in Africa

**Comment [o6]:** Not everywhere in Africa do more literature review to find out

**Comment [o7]:** This cannot be generalized to all farmers but specifically to those in Ondo state

The average size of a household was eight individuals. This indicates that farmers are assisted by a larger number of members of their households. About half of farmers (49.2%) have between 1 and 10 years of agricultural experience, 18.3% have between 11 and 20 years of experience, 16.6% have more than 30 years, and 15.8% have between 21 and 30 years of farming experience. The majority of farmers (45%) have university education, while 32.5% have secondary school and 22.5% have primary education. This indicates that the majority of farmers are educated and knowledgeable enough to use appropriate farming practises. Similarly, farmers are better informed about the adoption of relevant technologies to mitigate climate change concerns. 73.3 percent of farmers have farms smaller than 2 hectares, 25 percent have farms between 2 and 4 hectares, and only 1.6% have farms larger than 4 hectares.

**Comment [o8]:** Be consistent in formatting the manuscript. Line spacing different?

**Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of farmers in the study area (n=120)**

| Variables                 | Freq.       | %    |
|---------------------------|-------------|------|
| <b>Age (years)</b>        |             |      |
| Less than 30              | 5           | 4.1  |
| 30-50                     | 71          | 59.2 |
| Above 50                  | 44          | 36.7 |
| <b>Mean ± std.</b>        | 48.44±11.54 |      |
| <b>Sex</b>                |             |      |
| Male                      | 88          | 73.3 |
| Female                    | 32          | 26.7 |
| <b>Marital</b>            |             |      |
| Married                   | 105         | 87.5 |
| Single                    | 14          | 11.7 |
| Divorced                  | 1           | 0.8  |
| <b>Primary occupation</b> |             |      |
| Farming                   | 73          | 60.8 |
| Non-farming               | 47          | 39.2 |
| <b>Household size</b>     |             |      |
| 1-10                      | 98          | 81.7 |
| 11-20                     | 19          | 15.8 |
| Above 20                  | 3           | 2.5  |
| <b>Mean ± std.</b>        | 7.9±5.4     |      |
| <b>Farming experience</b> |             |      |
| 1-10                      | 59          | 49.2 |
| 11-20                     | 22          | 18.3 |
| 21-30                     | 19          | 15.8 |
| Above 30                  | 20          | 16.6 |
| <b>Mean ± std.</b>        | 18.04±12.8  |      |
| <b>Educational level</b>  |             |      |
| Primary education         | 27          | 22.5 |
| Secondary education       | 39          | 32.5 |
| Tertiary education        | 54          | 45.0 |
| <b>Farm size (ha)</b>     |             |      |
| Less than 2               | 88          | 73.3 |
| 2.1- 4.0                  | 30          | 25.0 |

|           |   |     |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Above 4.0 | 2 | 1.7 |
|-----------|---|-----|

Source: Field Survey, 2018

Comment [o9]: Who conducted this field survey? Is it the researchers? This has to be clear

### Farmers' perception of climate variability on crop production

Using a 5-point Likert scale, Table 2 displays the distribution of farmers' perceptions of climate variability's impact on crop production in the research area. Based on the perception index values, the majority of farmers perceived increased temperature (4.30) as the most evident climatic variability, followed by increased rainfall (3.93), a longer rainy season (3.67), a shorter dry season (3.56), a shorter rainy season (3.29), and a decrease in temperature (3.15). (3.14). In the research area, farmers encountered significant climatic variations, according to the findings. Kebede and Gizachew (2017) opined that the majority of farmers saw high temperatures and low, unexpected precipitation as evidence of climatic unpredictability. Our findings concur with their assertions. Likewise, the findings concur with those of Adegandjou and Dominique (2018). The study revealed that 90.8% of farmers reported that the climate had changed, with the most notable changes being disturbances of rainfall (early cessation, rainfall delays, and distribution of poor rainfall), an increase in temperature, violent winds, and a reduction in the length of the short dry season. Furthermore, 89% of respondents perceived rainfall disturbances to be evident in the study area.

**Table 2: Distribution of farmers' perception on climate variability on arable crop production**

| Climatic Variables       | SA freq. (%) | A freq. (%) | U freq. (%) | Dfreq. (%) | SD freq. (%) | Perception Index (X) | RANK            |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Increase temperature     | 60 (50.0)    | 49 (40.8)   | 1 (0.8)     | 7 (5.8)    | 3 (2.5)      | 4.30                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> |
| Decrease temperature     | 19 (15.8)    | 45 (37.5)   | 6 (5.0)     | 34 (28.3)  | 16(13.3)     | 3.14                 | 7 <sup>th</sup> |
| No change in temperature | 11 (9.2)     | 18 (15.0)   | 20 (16.7)   | 28 (23.3)  | 43 (35.8)    | 2.38                 | 8 <sup>th</sup> |
| Increase rainfall        | 50 (41.7)    | 42 (35.0)   | 6 (5.0)     | 14 (11.7)  | 8 (6.7)      | 3.93                 | 2 <sup>nd</sup> |
| Decrease rainfall        | 16 (13.3)    | 47 (39.2)   | 9 (7.5)     | 35 (29.2)  | 13 (10.8)    | 3.15                 | 6 <sup>th</sup> |
| No change in rainfall    | 10 (8.3)     | 13 (10.8)   | 26 (21.7)   | 33 (27.5)  | 38 (31.7)    | 2.37                 | 9 <sup>th</sup> |
| Shorter raining season   | 23 (19.2)    | 46 (38.3)   | 7 (5.8)     | 31 (25.8)  | 13 (10.8)    | 3.29                 | 5 <sup>th</sup> |
| Longer raining season    | 41 (34.2)    | 39 (32.5)   | 7 (5.8)     | 25 (20.8)  | 8 (6.7)      | 3.67                 | 3 <sup>rd</sup> |
| Shorter dry              | 31 (25.8)    | 46 (38.3)   | 11 (9.2)    | 23 (19.2)  | 9 (7.5)      | 3.56                 | 4 <sup>th</sup> |

|               |     |           |           |           |           |           |      |  |                  |
|---------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|--|------------------|
| season        |     |           |           |           |           |           |      |  |                  |
| Longer season | dry | 23 (19.2) | 28 (23.3) | 12 (10.0) | 28 (23.3) | 29 (24.2) | 2.90 |  | 10 <sup>th</sup> |

SA: Strongly agree, A: Agree, U: Undecided, D: Disagree, SD: Strongly Disagree

Source: Field Survey, 2018.

Comment [o10]: Who is the author? Name?

### Effects of climate variability on crop productivity

The impacts of climatic change on crop yield in the study area are presented in Table 3. Almost fifty-four percent of farmers claimed that an increase in temperature boosts agricultural productivity, while forty-five percent stated the opposite. Around forty percent of the farmers answered that a reduction in temperature boosts agricultural yield, while a greater proportion stated that a decrease in temperature did not increase crop productivity. Almost 60% of farmers reported that increasing precipitation enhances agricultural yield. Forty percent (40%) of respondents indicated that reduced precipitation enhances crop yield.

**Table 3: Distribution of effects of climate variability on crop productivity**

| Climate variability    | Yes   |      | No    |      |
|------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|
|                        | Freq. | %    | Freq. | %    |
| Increase temperature   | 65    | 54.2 | 55    | 45.8 |
| Decrease temperature   | 44    | 36.7 | 76    | 63.3 |
| Increase Rainfall      | 71    | 59.2 | 49    | 40.8 |
| Decrease Rainfall      | 48    | 40.0 | 72    | 60.0 |
| Shorter Raining Season | 25    | 27.5 | 87    | 72.5 |
| Longer Raining Season  | 78    | 65.0 | 42    | 35.0 |
| Shorter Dry Season     | 97    | 81.8 | 23    | 19.2 |
| Longer Dry Season      | 11    | 9.2  | 109   | 90.8 |

Source: Field Survey, 2018

Comment [o11]: Table hading is not clear. Is it distribution of farmers based on effects of climate variability? What is the distribution about?

### Coping strategies practised by farmers against climate variability

Table 4 displays the farmers' coping measures against climate unpredictability in the research area. As a means of coping with climate variability, the majority of farmers (83.3%) engaged in crop rotation, 82.5% planted different crops, 78.3% engaged in the adoption of mixed cropping, 66.7% moved to different areas, 65.8% used chemicals, fertilisers and pesticides, 65% adopted in different planting dates, increased farm size and planted cover crops, and 64.2% engaged in the planting of different varieties of crops. They indicate that farmers use a variety of methods to limit the effects of climate unpredictability on crop production.

**Table 4: Distribution of coping strategies practised by farmers against climate variability**

| Coping Strategies               | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Planting of different crops     | 99        | 82.5       |
| Planting of different varieties | 77        | 64.2       |
| Different planting date         | 78        | 65.0       |
| Move to a different area        | 80        | 66.7       |
| Increase farm size              | 78        | 65.0       |
| Change from Crop to livestock   | 32        | 26.7       |

Comment [o12]: Not clear

|   |     |      |
|---|-----|------|
| Adopt irrigation                                | 59  | 49.2 |
| Use of chemicals, fertilizer and pesticides     | 79  | 65.8 |
| Use of insurance                                | 28  | 23.3 |
| Spiritual                                       | 40  | 33.3 |
| Planting of cover crop                          | 78  | 65.0 |
| Crop rotation                                   | 100 | 83.3 |
| Planting of sole crop                           | 42  | 35.0 |
| Monitoring of some changes in weather variables | 69  | 57.5 |
| Adoption of mixed cropping                      | 94  | 78.3 |
| Take off Farm Job                               | 18  | 15.0 |

Source: Field Survey, 2018

### Relationship between socioeconomic characteristics and choice of coping strategy

The association between socioeconomic variables and the coping technique chosen to alleviate the consequences of climate variability in the research area is presented in Table 5. Age, Sex, Home Size (HHS), and Years of Farming Experience (YOF) have a positive association with the planting of different crops to mitigate the influence of climate variability on crop production, although only household size has a significant correlation of 5%. This suggests that an increase in any of these variables will result in a greater propensity to plant alternative crops in response to climate unpredictability. On the other hand, the educational level (EDU) shows a negative link with the planting of various crops as a method of climate variability mitigation. This shows that a rise in the educational level of farmers will result in a decrease in the planting of diverse crops as a mitigation strategy, and vice versa. As farmers' knowledge levels rise, they will become more aware and devise more effective methods for controlling climate variability. In addition, the results suggested that Age and HHS have a positive link with the planting of different kinds in response to climate variability. This suggests that an increase in Age and household size will result in an increase in the propensity to utilise planting of several types to cope with climate variability. Nonetheless, Sex (-.058), Farm Size (FSZ) (-.060), Educational Background (-.051), and Age of Farmer (-.010) exhibit a negative link with the planting of diverse types as a strategy of coping with climate unpredictability. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will reduce the use of planting new types as a coping mechanism.

In addition, Age, Sex, HHZ, FSZ, and EDU show a good correlation with various planting dates as a coping mechanism. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will result in an increase in the propensity to use varied planting dates as a coping mechanism. Yet, only YOF displays a negative correlation with various planting dates. This indicates that an increase

Comment [o13]: How do we measure correlation? Ranges from 1 to +1?

Comment [o14]: What do you mean?

Comment [o15]: You mean growing different varieties of crops does not mitigate effects of climate change? Find out from literature

Comment [o16]: Nothing like good correlation. Correlation can only be negative or positive

Comment [o17]: Is the correlation significant? Please indicate

in the use of this variable will result in a decrease in the use of varied planting dates as a climate-mitigation strategy. Age, Sex, HHZ, and FSZ all exhibit a positive correlation with relocating to a different region as a means of minimising the effects of climate variability, with only HHZ demonstrating a significant correlation at 5%. This indicates that any rise in any of the variables will result in a greater propensity to relocate as a strategy of coping with climate variability. Yet, EDU and YOF show a negative correlation with relocating to a different region to mitigate the influence of climate unpredictability. This indicates that any increase in these variables will reduce the utility of relocating to a new region as a climate mitigation strategy.

Increasing farm size has a favourable correlation with HHS, FSZ, and EDU as a strategy of coping with climate variability. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will result in a larger farm. Nonetheless, Age, Sex, and YOF have a negative correlation with rising farm size, but only Age has a meaningful correlation at 5%. This indicates that an increase in any of the variables will reduce the reliance on farm size as a coping mechanism.

Age, Sex, HHS, and FSZ have a positive association with the shift from crops to cattle as a means of managing climatic variability, but only HHS has a significant correlation at 5%. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will result in a greater shift from crop to livestock production. In contrast, EDU and YOF show a negative link with the shift from crop to animal production as a strategy of mitigating the influence of climatic variability. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will reduce the utilisation of crop-to-livestock conversion.

Age, HHS, and YOF are positively correlated with the adoption of irrigation as a climate variability adaptation strategy. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will enhance the likelihood of users adopting irrigation as a coping mechanism. Yet, Sex, FSZ, and EDU have a negative link with irrigation as a strategy of minimising the influence of climate fluctuation. This indicates that any rise in any of these variables will reduce the adoption of irrigation as a mitigation strategy.

The link between HHS, FSZ, and EDU and the usage of chemical, fertiliser, and pesticides to mitigate the effects of climate variability is favourable. This indicates that a rise in any of these variables will result in an increase in the use of herbicides, fertilisers, and pesticides to mitigate the influence of climate variability. Nevertheless, Age, Sex, and YOF show a negative link with the use of chemicals, fertilisers, and pesticides to mitigate the influence of climate variability.

**Comment [o18]:** Are you talking about level of significance here? It cannot be correlation

**Comment [o19]:** What does literature say about relocating? Compare with what you found out in your study

**Comment [o20]:** Does it mean that an increase in age for instance will increase the size of land under cultivation? What do you mean?

**Comment [o21]:** Does literature review demonstrate this? Cite the sources of that information here

This demonstrates that an increase in any of these variables will reduce the need of chemicals, fertilisers, and pesticides as a mitigation strategy. There is a link between HHS and FSZ and the usage of insurance as a coping technique. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will result in a rise in insurance utilisation. Age, Female, Education, and Years of Experience have a negative link with the utilisation of insurance as a coping technique. This indicates that an increase in any of the variables will reduce the use of insurance as a mitigation strategy. Age, Sex, HHS, FSZ, and YOF all have a positive link with the use of spirituality as a coping mechanism, however only Age and HHS had a significant correlation at 5% and 1%, respectively. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will result in a rise in the use of spirituality as a strategy of reducing climatic variability. However, only EDU has a negative relationship with spirituality usage. This demonstrates that an increase in any of the variables will reduce the usage of spirituality as a coping mechanism.

**Comment [o22]:** Positive or negative?

**Comment [o23]:** Are these levels of significance? It should be consistent for the whole study. If you meant correlations  $r=$  lies between  $-1$  and  $+1$

**Comment [o24]:** Was this one of the variables?

Sex, HHS, FSZ, and EDU are positively correlated with the usage of cover crops to mitigate the effects of climate variability. This shows that an increase in any of these variables will result in a greater propensity to plant cover crops to mitigate the influence of climatic variability. Nevertheless, Age and YOF have a negative relationship with cover crop sowing. This suggests that an increase in any of the variables will decrease the usage of cover crop planting as a coping technique. The variables HHS, FSZ, and EDU are positively correlated with crop rotation as a coping technique. This indicates that a rise in any of these variables will boost crop rotation. Age, gender, and years of experience show a negative link with crop rotation. This implies that a rise in any of the factors will result in a decline in crop rotation. Sex, HHS, FSZ, and EDU are positively correlated with the coping strategy of growing the only crop. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will result in a rise in the planting of the single crop. Age and YOF, on the other hand, have a negative link with the planting of the solitary crop. This demonstrates that an increase in any of the factors will reduce the planting of the sole crop.

**Comment [o25]:** Take for example A farmer who is 90 years old and another who is 30 years old. You mean the one at 30 years will use cover crops and the 50 yr olds, 90 year old farmer will not use cover crops because of their ages? Does it agree with what other researchers have found out?

**Comment [o26]:** See what others have found out from literature and compare with your research findings

There is a favourable link between HHS, FSZ, and EDU and the monitoring of some changes in weather variables as a coping mechanism. This indicates that an increase in any of these factors will result in a rise in the monitoring of certain weather variable changes. On the other hand, Age, Sex, and YOF have a negative link with the monitoring of certain weather variable changes. This suggests that an increase in any of the variables will result in a reduction in the monitoring of certain weather variable changes. Sex, FSZ, EDU, and YOF all correlate

positively with the adoption of mixed cropping. 5% is a substantial association with sexuality. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will result in a rise in mixed cropping adoption. Age and HHS, on the other hand, have a negative link with the adoption of mixed cropping. This indicates that an increase in any of the variables will lower the adoption of mixed cropping as a mitigation strategy. In addition, only HHS has a positive link with off-farm employment as a coping technique. This indicates that an increase in any of these variables will lead to a rise in the number of individuals taking off-farm jobs. Nevertheless, Age, Female, FSZ, Educational Background, and Years of Experience have a negative link with taking the off-farm employment. This suggests that a rise in any of the variables will result in a decline in the number of individuals working off-farm.

Comment [o27]: Incomplete sentence

Comment [o28]: Gender of female? Which is which?

Comment [o29]: I thought education opens new avenues of employment and thus opening doors to off farm employment? Compare with what others have found out by conducting a thorough lit review

**Table 5: Correlation analysis showing the relationship between socioeconomic characteristics and choice of coping strategy**

| COPING STRATEGY                                 | AGE     | SEX    | HHS     | FSZ    | EDU    | YOF     |
|---|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Planting of different crops                     | 0.117   | 0.069  | 0.192*  | 0.008  | -0.119 | 0.101   |
| Planting of different varieties                 | 0.035   | -0.058 | 0.002   | -0.060 | -0.051 | -0.010  |
| Different planting date                         | 0.021   | 0.071  | 0.175   | 0.067  | 0.010  | -0.035  |
| Move to different area                          | 0.073   | 0.013  | 0.204*  | 0.078  | -0.045 | -0.017  |
| Increase farm size                              | -0.217* | -0.008 | 0.060   | 0.048  | 0.032  | -0.156  |
| Change from crop to livestock                   | 0.021   | 0.023  | 0.186*  | 0.012  | -0.076 | -0.051  |
| Adopt irrigation                                | 0.010   | -0.010 | 0.141   | -0.031 | -0.006 | 0.059   |
| Use of chemicals, fertilizer and pesticides     | -0.131  | -0.037 | 0.029   | 0.082  | 0.138  | -0.157  |
| Use of insurance                                | -0.011  | -0.068 | 0.174   | 0.096  | -0.082 | -0.111  |
| Spiritual                                       | 0.188*  | 0.067  | 0.250** | 0.115  | -0.089 | 0.254** |
| Planting of cover crop                          | -0.188  | 0.032  | 0.051   | 0.118  | 0.143  | -0.169  |
| Crop rotation                                   | -0.096  | -0.118 | 0.098   | 0.126  | 0.099  | -0.007  |
| Planting of sole crop                           | -0.118  | 0.087  | 0.000   | 0.015  | 0.012  | -0.111  |
| Monitoring of some changes in weather variables | -0.136  | -0.175 | 0.167   | 0.018  | 0.117  | -0.010  |
| Adoption of mixed cropping                      | -0.050  | 0.186* | -0.125  | 0.096  | 0.073  | 0.062   |
| Take off farm Job                               | -0.118  | -0.063 | 0.142   | -0.176 | -0.090 | -0.107  |

Comment [o30]: Note: nothing was significant here

Comment [o31]: Nothing was significant here

Source: Field Survey, 2018.

### Conclusion and Recommendation

This study suggests that the farmers in the study area are well-educated enough to recognise the climate unpredictability and the dangers and uncertainties it poses to their crop yield. Thus, many of them are proactive enough to implement diverse tactics to minimise the consequences of the

Comment [o32]: Can this conclusion be drawn from the data analysis above?

varying climate conditions, while others have adopted a reactive approach and mitigation strategies. In addition, this study concludes that farmers will be in a better position if they are provided with projected meteorological information. In light of this, the study suggests that a weather forecasting service be made available to farmers so that they are fully educated, prepared, and proactive regarding future planting seasons, as opposed to merely reactive. Similarly, both the public and the commercial agricultural extension providers should continue to educate the public on the realities of climate change and its potential effects on the yield of arable crops.

Comment [o33]: These conclusions may not be drawn from this study

## References

- AdegnandouMahouna Roland Fadina& Dominique Barjole, (2018). Farmers' adaptation strategies to climate change and their implications in the Zou Department of South Benin. *Environments*, 5, 15, DOI: 10.3390/environments5010015.
- Adelaja, OlusumboAdeolu, Kamaruddin, Roslina Binti & Chiat, Lee Wen (2018). Assessment of post-harvest fish losses croaker *Pseudotolithus elongatus* (Bowdich, 1825), catfish *Arius heudeloti*, (Valenciennes, 1840) and shrimp *Nematopalaemonhastatus*(Aurivillius, 1898) in Ondo State, Nigeria. *Aquaculture and Fisheries*, 3: 209-216.
- Akintonde Johnson Oluwole, Lwasa Shuaib &Purnamita Dasgupta (2016). Assessment level of use of climate change adaptation strategies among arable crop farmers in Oyo and Ekiti States, Nigeria.
- Apata, T. G., Samuel, K. D. & Adeola, A. O. (2009). Analysis of climate change perception and adaptation among arable food crop farmers in Southwestern Nigeria. Contributed paper prepared for presentation at the International Association of Agricultural Economics Conference, Beijing, China, August 16-22.
- Assoumana, B. T., Ndaiye, M., Puje, G., Diourte, M. &Graiser, T. (2016). Comparative assessment of local farmers' perceptions of meteorological events and adaptations strategies. Two case studies in the Niger Republic. *Journal Sustainable Development*, 9, 118-135.
- Below, T. B., Schmid, J. C. &Sieber, S. (2015). Farmers' knowledge and perception of climate risks and options for climate change adaptation: A case study from two Tanzanian villages. *Reg. Environ. Change*, 15, 1169-1180, DOI: 10.1007/s10113-014-0620-1.
- Chinchongue, O. J., Karuku, G. N., Mwala, A. K., Onyango, C. M. &Magalhaes, A. M. (2015). Farmers risk perceptions and adaptation to climate change in Lichinga and Sussundenga, Mozambique. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.*, 10,1938-1942, doi:10.5897/AJAR2013.7360.

- Comoe, H. (2013). Contribution to food security by improving farmers' responses to climate change in Northern and Central areas of Cote d'Ivoire; ETH: Zurich, Switzerland, 2013.
- Deressa, T., Hassan, R. M. & Ringler, C. (2008). Measuring Ethiopian farmers vulnerability to climate change across regional states. International Food Policy Research Institute Discussion Paper 00806, 32pp.
- Eludoyin, A. O., Nevo, A. O., Abuloye, P. A., Eludoyin, O. M., & Awotoye, O. O. (2017). Climate events and impacts on cropping activities of small-scale farmers in a part of Southwest Nigeria. *American Meteorological Society*, pp 235-253, doi:10.1175/WCAS-D-16-0032.1.
- Fadumila, A. L. (2012). Farmers' perception of climate variability effects on arable crop productivity under tropical condition. An unpublished research project submitted to Faculty of Agricultural Science, University of Ilorin, 56pp.
- Gebreeyesus, K. A. (2017). Impact of climate change on the agro-ecological innovation of coffee agroforestry systems in Central Kenya. PhD. Thesis, Sup Agro, Montpellier, France, 28 April, 2017.
- International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2007). Climate change: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. In: Contribution of working group II to the fourth assessment report. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Kebede, W. & Gizachew, Z. (2017). Understanding farmers' perception of climate change and adaptation strategies in Karetha watershed, Omo-gibe Basin, Ethiopia. *Asian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 10(1): 22-32.
- Lobell, D., Burke, M., Tebaldi, C., Mastrandrea, M. Falcon, W. & Naylor, R. (2008). Prioritizing climate change adaptation needs for food security in 2030. *Science*, 319 (5863): 607-610.
- Maracchi, G., Sirotenko, O. & Bindi, M. (2005). Impacts of present and future climate variability on agriculture and forestry in the temperate regions: Europe. *Climatic Change*, 70, 117-135, DOI: 10.1007/s10584-00505939-7.
- National Nutrition and Health Survey (NNHS). (2018). Report on the nutrition and health situation of Nigeria. 161pp.
- Odekunle, T. O. (2004). Rainfall and the length of the growing season in Nigeria. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 24, 467-479, DOI: 10.1002/joc.1012.
- Simelton, E., Quinn, C. H., Batisani, N., Dougill, A. J., Dyer, J. C., Fraser, E. D. G., Mkwambisi, D., Sallau, S. & Stringer, L. C. (2013). Is rainfall really changing? Farmers' perceptions, meteorological data and policy implications. *Climate Development*, 5, 123-138.

Sivakumar, M. V. K., Das, H. P. & Brunini, O. (2005). Impacts of present and future climate variability and change on agriculture and forestry in the arid and semi-arid tropics. *Climatic Change*, 70, 31-72, DOI: 10.1007/s10584-005-5937-9.

UNDER PEER REVIEW