

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_100018
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' PERCEPTION OF CLIMATE VARIABILITY EFFECTS ON ARABLE CROP PRODUCTIVITY IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the new findings can enhance mitigation of climate variability effects It is suitable The abstract is comprehensive The methodology section should be separated from results and discussion section If suggested corrections are made, then the manuscript will be scientifically correct. Understanding of some statistical analysis would be essential. Interpretation of outputs from statistical analysis would benefit the author eg correlation, levels of significance etc a small background of the statistical analysis that was applied would be essential to allow replication of the study by other researchers More references needed in the areas highlighted	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nancy Chege
Department, University & Country	Machakos University, Kenya