

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_99995
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns and Bacteriological Profile of Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Bangladesh: A Cross-Sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This is an article with relevant results, as it reinforces the existence of resistant strains of bacteria in the hospital environment..</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>Partially. The discussion must be expanded.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The discussion of results must be expanded. I suggest adding paragraphs trying to explain the factors that are possibly leading to this resistance, as well as discussing strategies that may be useful to reduce the occurrence of the observed resistance. The quali-quantitative evaluation itself needs to be better discussed.</p> <p>Are there measures that can minimize the existence of these microorganisms? This would also need to be discussed, including citing and commenting on experiences that have been successfully applied by other researchers or health teams.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Few considerations are through the text.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matheus Diniz Gonçalves Coêlho
Department, University & Country	Centro Universitário Funvic, Brazil