

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJRCS _99323
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of medicinal plants in the alternative treatment of breast cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Add <b>Breast Cancer</b> in Keywords Part, since the article focuses on this topic</li> <li>2. The citation about why medicinal plants are important for cancer in the 'introduction part' is expressed <b>clearly</b>.</li> <li>3. It's strongly suggested to separate <b>Data collection and analysis into two parts</b>, so the readers will understand it thoroughly (refer to Robb KA, et al. BMJ Open 2014;4:e005434. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005434)</li> <li>4. It's strongly suggested to make <b>a table</b> for the sentences highlighted with blue color in the part of 'Results and Discussion' (refer to Table 1 of article belonged to Froben AL, et al. Breast Care 2016. DOI: 10.1159/000446015) So, the data will displayed and be legible to understand.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	No minor revision	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Please check the guidelines to write consistent and proper references structure	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fahru Reza Arifni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia</b>