

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_99140
Title of the Manuscript:	E-LEARNING IN RURAL SECONDARY SCHOOLS UNDER SARPANG DZONGKHAG: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Some clarity is needed here in the write up than each points should be in pin pointed, so reader can understand it easily.</p> <p>Author should reframe the title according to the data given and work done. This is like one article.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Problem of the statement should be in concise and clear. So, correct accordingly.</p> <p>No, in each part author write introduction, meaning of E learning and lots of things which was not needed in all the parts of paper.</p> <p>Yes...</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, Major revision is needed..</p> <p>Lots of unwanted theoretical, portion is there which was not needed. Like, about sampling, there is no need to write the theory of sampling author just write meaning or reference with relation to this study only.</p> <p>Just follow the journal format for the same.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Think about above mention Comments.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajesh Rathod
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Teacher education, India