

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_99600
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES AND INHIBITORY EFFICACY OF LEAVE EXTRACTS OF <i>Agaratum conyzoides</i> and <i>Acacia alata</i> ON THE FOLLOWING PATHOGENIC BACTERIA SPECIES: <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> AND <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript evaluates the the antimicrobial activity and determine the zone of inhibition of extracts against some bacterial and fungal strains. But the criteria of selection of organisms can be specific</p> <p>2. The title can be precise. It reads very long. Title can be modified in a precise manner</p> <p>3. Repetition of content in methodology "Methodology: The antimicrobial activity of the extracts was determined using the agar disc diffusion method. The disc diffusion method was used to determine the antimicrobial activity of these plants on the test organisms."</p> <p>4. In 2.2 Preparation Of The Plant Extracts- Is it preparation or collection? The sections 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 can be revised and rewritten</p> <p>5. Only to some extent</p> <p>6. No issues Mention the results as mean \pm sem The statistical analysis has to be carried out</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to be rewritten in a scholarly manner especially the materials and methods section</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakthi Priyadarsini .S
Department, University & Country	Srm College of Pharmacy, SRMIST, India