

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_99100
Title of the Manuscript:	Student Preference on Choice of Higher Education Institutions: A Case Study of Royal University of Bhutan, Bhutan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript and its important for the scientific community, because it has research in the form of a questionnaire and statistical processing of the questionnaire results.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically accurate.</p> <p>6. The references may be sufficient but they are not new. Out of a total of 15 references, 13 of them are from the period 2003-2016 and only one each from 2019 and 2020.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Is the English quality of the article appropriate for scholarly communication?</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I propose to introduce in the article the following 5 new references:</p> <p>[1] González-Pérez, L.I., & Ramírez-Montoya, M.S. (2022). Components of Education 4.0 in 21st Century Skills Frameworks: Systematic Review. <i>Sustainability</i>, 14 (3), 1493. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031493.</p> <p>[2] Hieu, V.M., Xuyen, N.T.M., & Hung, D.D.P. (2020). Factors influencing to the university choice of high-schools pupils - An empirical study of Lam Dong Province, Vietnam. <i>Journal of Critical Reviews</i>, 7 (8), 1656-1665. http://dx.doi.org/10.31838/jcr.07.08.324.</p> <p>[3] Khahro, S.H., & Javed, Y. (2022). Key Challenges in 21st Century Learning: A Way Forward towards Sustainable Higher Educational Institutions. <i>Sustainability</i>, 14 (23), 16080. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142316080.</p> <p>[4] Le, D.T., Robinson, J.L., & Dobebe, R.A. (2020). Understanding high school students use of choice factors and word-of-mouth information sources in university selection. <i>Studies in Higher Education</i>, 45 (4), 808-818. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2018.1564259.</p> <p>[5] Sung, J., Sheng, Y.Z., Liao, A.K., Xinhui, A.C., Liu, L., & Coates, H. (2022). Augmenting the role of higher education institutions in lifelong learning: Designing an indicator framework for policy application. <i>International Journal of Chinese Education</i>, 11, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1177/2212586821107293.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Predrag Dašić
Department, University & Country	Academy of Professional Studies Šumadija, Serbia