

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99589
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND MANAGEMENT OF BURULI ULCER DISEASE: A CASE STUDY AT SEKYERE AFRAM PLAINS DISTRICT OF ASHANTI REGION, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p>The article may be an useful contribution to the journal; in the manuscript titled 'KNOWLEDGE AND MANAGEMENT OF BURULI ULCER DISEASE: A CASE STUDY AT SEKYERE AFRAM PLAINS DISTRICT OF ASHANTI REGION, GHANA', the authors aimed to assess the management of Buruli ulcer cases in a particular Afrian region.</p> <p>The exposed problem is important and a amjor concern in the aferementioned geographical area. Methods seem well chosen, data analysis seems well conducted.</p> <p>References seem appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>However, few changes should be taken into consideration: Would be advisable to use <math>p &lt; 0.001</math> instead of authors' <math>p</math>-value= <i>0.000</i>.</p> <p>The authors are advised to define all abbreviations at first appearance in text; in abstract and also elsewhere; some abbreviations are used woithout being explained when first appear in the text (e.g. NHIS)</p> <p>Grammar and punctuation must also be carefully checked within the entire article.</p> <p>Overall, I consider the article an useful contribution to the journal. Therefore, I recommend the manuscript for being published after suggested changes have been taken into consideration by the authors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mircea Tampa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Carol Davila University of Medicine, Victor Babes Hospital of Infectious Diseases, Romania</b>