

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJR2H_99893</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Penetrating vertebral-medullary wound by ballistic weapon. A Clinical cases and literature reviews</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	weles  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Great article, I must say. I have just a few suggestion: (1) Page 3, in discussing classifications of injuries. Recast "injuries" as "injuries" (2) In the conclusion, I quote: " .. the ablation of the ball is very discussed..." should be recasted as " the ablation of the ball is well/ widely discussed..."	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Great paper, just a few correction to improve its quality and readability. Kudos to the authors for a job well done.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	David A. Oluyori
Department, University & Country	FUT, Nigeria