

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98143
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of low cost storage structure on shelf life and quality of onion genotypes under arid conditions of Haryana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific purpose</p> <p>Very suitable title however, can be modified if need be</p> <p>It is very comprehensive and concise</p> <p>Some aspect of the writeup did not match while some context is missing. The table should be spaced using 1cm. Grid line in table is not scientific, it should be removed completely. The keywords on the subsections should be started with capital letter. Any words should not be abbreviated except its first stated in full. E.g. PWL: Physiological Weight Loss and the first letter should be capitalized.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct and conform to the some of the journals instructions meant for authors.</p> <p>The references need to be overhauled from beginning to the end. It doesn't conform to the style stated by the journal. Most punctuation marks are missing. The authors names and initials are not well written. The journals names are to be in italics all through. Some references are not cited within the body of the work while some are not cited properly, infact not complete. Majority of the references cited are recent while some are too old, at least 10years to the time of research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language or English quality of the article is suitable and well written for scholar communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author need to read through the manuscript to correct and adjust the missing part cut out probably during editing of the manuscript. All the references are to be revisited and corrected, follow the right format or style recommended by the journal.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salami Olufunmilayo Olamide
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Animal Health & Production Technology, Nigeria