

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100097
Title of the Manuscript:	“Efficacy of selected insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, Earias vittella (Fabricius.) on okra”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it addresses the issue of sustainable agriculture and food production The addition of okra productivity will describe the content of the manuscript better. (i.e. Efficacy of selected insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, Earias vittella (Fabricius.) on okra plant productivity The aim, duration of the study and conclusion were not stated in the abstract Yes, except that where the Objectives were written is not in line with the journal format. Yes, it is Yes, they are The discussion should be improved on by providing information on the results obtained by the authors mentioned.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The grammatical errors pointed out in the manuscript by the reviewer should be effected	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oyekemi Olubamise Akinmusire
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria