

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99906
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF POTATO FARMERS AND TREND, GROWTH RATE OF AREA, PRODUCTION OF POTATO IN NALANDA DISTRICT OF BIHAR, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript has practical significance for scientific community. The goal of the current inquiry was to understand the socio – economic situation of potato farmers, indenticated trend, growth rate of area, production of potato in Nalanda district of Bihar, India 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article need to explain more clearly that average family size is 6%. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, he author/authors should add research objectives. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references should be cited in the study. 7. Suggestion and Policy implication should be more specific	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	This paper is required compulsory revision comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Thi Dung
Department, University & Country	Bac Giang Agriculture and Forestry University, Vietnam