

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99741
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Sulphur and Zinc on Growth and Yield of Pearl millet. (Pennisetum glaucum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, as the significance of Millet is increasing in food habit. The manuscript adhere importance for understanding the fertilizers values in millet growing.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Correct as the author tried to find out the influence of S and zinc on Pennisetum glaucum</p> <p>3 – 4 reference need to including in introduction relevant to the contents.</p> <p>References given in texts should be in scientific way. I suggested to give it in the form as Vinod and Pandey (2020) not as Kaushal Vinod and mamta pandey</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Appropriate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Manuscript will provide basic foundation for advance research. So its Ok	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. K Chandra
Department, University & Country	Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, A Central University, India