

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99021
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of rice varieties (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) on the basis of physiological and morphological traits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes if the article have been written properly Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	No	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	No, Very less and old references.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Article was written in very casual manner without taking proper care. In abstract part of materials and methods mentioned like season, replication , design of experiment which is lacking in materials and methods. In results and discussion just results mentioned and the previous authors. No where reason are justification was given. Article has to be revised and submitted in a proper manner.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nidanapati Karanam Gayathri
Department, University & Country	Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, India