

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_99042
Title of the Manuscript:	Avoiding Hunger during Crisis Situation: The COVID 19-Challenges
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes, It is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract needs to be still clear, starting with objective of the manuscript, followed by methodology, ending with policy note implication as this article is policy related.</p> <p>In this article, sub-sections and structure of the manuscript need to be in the following order. For example, after introduction, there must be a problem statement, followed by review of literature, methodology, Findings, Policy implications (Participatory Governance and Processes and Vulnerability (COVID-19) cum Livelihood Assets Assessment) and Development Results (Outcomes/ Impacts). Though it is a policy paper, the researcher needs to mention the objectives and methodology of this article in detail and these are completely missing.</p> <p>The researcher has made a good attempt to make it scientifically acceptable. Moreover, he is asked to do the changes / modifications as suggested here so to make this manuscript scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are not correct as per the APA 7th edition.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language is good and suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. John Kaviarasu
Department, University & Country	School of Human Excellence, Loyola College, India