

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_99042
Title of the Manuscript:	Avoiding Hunger during Crisis Situation: The COVID 19-Challenges
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1 Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community and has an important impact in the pandemic time 2 The title is correct, just need to add the location 3 In the abstract need to be explained the purpose of the study. General objective 4 Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate 5 The manuscript is scientifically correct, but just missing references or citations 6 The references are not sufficient, it's necessary to cite other authors or institutions that study this topic in all the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of manuscript is correct, but you can do a review with experts	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María Elena Quinde Lituma
Department, University & Country	Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Administrativas de la, Universidad de Cuenca, Ecuador