

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology                                |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRN_99430  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Calciophylaxis in hemodialysis, diagnosis and management : case report |
| Type of the Article      | Case study   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br/><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p><b>To be modified grammatically</b></p> <p>Not clear and comprehensive</p> <p>It is a case study. Hence the relevant proofs to be submitted for cross check</p> <p>No</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>  | <p>The language is to be grammatically checked throughout the text.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <p>Even in the absence of images of calciophylaxis on the two histologies, the clinical aspect is compatible with calciophylaxis.--- Explain the meaning<br/>Treatment with sodium thiosulfate which ist an antioxidant agent would act by chelating calcium and attenuating prothrombogenic endothelial dysfunction induced by oxidative stress --- To be corrected<br/>We also stopped VKAs as a factor favoring calciophylaxis and given the increased risk of--<br/>The sentence is incomplete -<br/>The discussion is generally described. It should be relevant to the case that has been studied.<br/>The authors didn't submit the proof of the case.</p> |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>K. Raja Rajeswari</b>                        |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Pulla Reddy Institute of Pharmacy, India</b> |