

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_100179
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial potential of three natural polyketides isolated from Endophytic fungus Phomopsis sp CAM212 against to dysenteric causing pathogens.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author / authors are praised for their informative work . They are suggested to modify the article by following the mentioned suggestions –</p> <p>(1)Some grade of language correction is needed (we , our etc. should not be used) ,</p> <p>(2)Abstract should be written without heading by reflecting the whole procedure of the work and result in brief ,</p> <p>(3)Introduction should be short and reflect the idea and need of the work ,</p> <p>(4)Aims and objectives should be written clearly ,</p> <p>(5)In the Materials and Methods the steps should be mentioned chronologically and the ethical clearance should be at last,</p> <p>(6)Observation and Result should reflect the observations of the works step by step chronologically,</p> <p>(7)A Summary should reflect all the observations in short ,</p> <p>(8)Conclusion should be short containing the ultimate outcome of the work ,</p> <p>(9)References</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dilip Kr. Goswami
Department, University & Country	Sri Sri College of Ayurvedic Science and Research Hospital, Sri Sri University, India