

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_100223
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodiversity and Community Structure of Arboreal Foraging Ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), Mealybugs (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Coccoidea) and Host Plants in Douala (Littoral-Cameroon).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript needs to be improved in order to be published. The authors should check the suggestions and do the modifications in all the items (Title, Abstract, Keywords, Introduction/objective, Material and Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusions and References). All these items should be improved (use the reviewed manuscript file as source).</p> <p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community and need serious major revision in order to be published. The number of words in the article length exceeds the limit of the AJRCS guidelines.</p> <p>Applicability of the research, indications of potential uses of the information should be mentioned into the manuscript.</p> <p>The language grammar and manuscript template should be rechecked and adequate to English language and the journal template, respectively.</p> <p>Use the attached reviewed manuscript file in order to improve the review process.</p> <p>All manuscript: Recheck the manuscript following the AJRCS guidelines https://journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/about/submissions</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English quality is regular, but needs to be improved in order to publish the article. The authors should check the journal template format. Review ALL the MANUSCRIPT, data and verify the double spaces between words, phrases, paragraphs, tables and figures.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper can be accepted for publication, with major revisions.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlos Eduardo Silveira da Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil