

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99884
Title of the Manuscript:	TEACHER'S SENSE OF EFFICACY AND STUDENTS' MOTIVATION: THE MEDIATING EFFECT OF PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it is. Yes, it is. Yes, it is. Yes, they are. Yes, it is correct. Yes, they are recent. No addition. The author needs to edit it. Research question(s) and hypothesis relating to determining the mediating effect of parental involvement in the relationship of teacher's sense of efficacy and students' motivation were not stated in the article. The asterisks in table 4 should be removed. In the methodology, Pearson r, Medgraph and z-test were stated to be used to analyze the collected data. The author(s) presented a regression analysis table in table 5, This should be well stated.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to fix grammar and punctuation	
Optional/General comments	Need to check author guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wokoma Tamuno-olo Abbott
Department, University & Country	Army Day Secondary School, Nigeria