

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_100253</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOCIAL SCIENCE LEARNING MEDIA BASED ON WORDWALL DIGITAL GAME IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, but needs some improvements.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>An abstract is usually between 200 to 250 words and written in the past tense. This seems to be a lot more than that. Also, on line 6 insert <b>and</b> before (5). On line 12 – The results of the study <b>indicated</b> that ... On line 13 – <b>was</b> a fundamental ... On line 15 digital game <b>was</b> designed ... On line 17 – <b>had</b> an adequate ... In line 23 - digital game <b>was</b> effective ...</p> <p>In the Introduction section – first line – what is the number <b>4.0</b>?</p> <p>On page 2, line 8, <b>At</b> should be written with small a.</p> <p>At the bottom of page 2, <b>Utilization of the media by using the LCD.</b> is not a complete sentence.</p> <p>At the bottom of page 2, there seems to be something wrong with this sentence: <b>Through this game is expected to hone intelligence and brain skills.</b></p> <p>On top of page 3, what does this word mean: <b>mely</b>?</p> <p>On top of page 3, <b>Attractive appearance/features with more varied games that are easy for students to use in understanding learning.</b> Correct this sentence.</p> <p>On page 3, - Methods – line 4 and 5 - this stands alone: <b>Elementary School Students in Makassar City.</b> Correct this.</p> <p>In the methods section, you don't seem to mention the number of students that participated in your study.</p> <p>In your references I did not see <b>Krathwohl's opinion, 2018</b> on page 12.</p> <p>Your references should be written in <b>APA style</b>. They are not written in that style. In fact, your reference section is a mess.</p> <p>You also should <b>very carefully</b> read your paper again and correct where a period is missing, where there should not be a space, where there should be a comma, etc</p>	-

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vahid Motamedi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alexander College, Canada</b>