

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_99711
Title of the Manuscript:	Vascular age in patients admitted for coronary syndrome with ST segment elevation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tables, Figure/graph should be placed along with description at appropriate place 	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ "Age of our patients was 60,89 years" (60,89 should be 60.89). Same mistakes in all Tables at various figures (Multiple places) ➤ Figure 1, X-axis and Y-axis parameters (Numerical) are not indicated 	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Number of citations referred are very less (At least 25 expected) 2) Latest citation/s not referred 	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paper should be in passive voice, third person and words like I, We etc should be avoided • Any Short form used first time, should be in full form with short form into bracket • Fonts of Abstract, Keywords and References should be smaller as compare to paper main body fonts 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Table numbering should be in Roman style 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amipara
Department, University & Country	GTU, India