

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SARJNP_99697</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Antimicrobial Synergism of Blended Essential Oils from Piper betle (L.) and Melaleuca alternifolia</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in thereview form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>NO, As this is the repetition of work</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p><b>NO</b></p> <p><b>Sufficient and quoted more than required unnecessarily</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Plant names should be author cited. Reference 1 is not properly quoted as per text.</p> <p>Where from Pure cultures were procured not mentioned.</p> <p>Uniformity missing in presenting acid index/value, ester index/value/saponification index/value and how these parameters achieved is missing.</p> <p>GC-MS interpretation is missing in the result part</p> <p>How the quantity of each component in the oil is estimated is lacking Scientific</p> <p>Typographical and technical errors are there in the manuscriptReferences are not uniform and not according to the Journal Guide lines</p> <p>When tree tea oil is commercially available why the extraction is made once again. These two plants are exploited commercially for their oils and their composition andreferences quoted by the authors also reflecting the same.</p> <p>In the title of the manuscript it was mentioned blended essential oil but the research wascarried out separately for each oil and the title is abrupt to the work.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ch. Srinivasa Reddy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SRR &amp; CVR Government Degree College, India</b>