

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_99273
Title of the Manuscript:	The Emergent Entangled Informational Universe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalspsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. 2. Yes. 3. No. 4. No. 5. Most. 6. Yes.</p> <p>The paper proposes a set of five new equivalent formulations of entropy based on the hidden thermodynamics of Louis de Broglie and the introduction of mass and physicality of information in the emergent entangled informational universe model. The paper covers a wide range of topics such as the emergence of physical existence, the information notion, the emergence of energy, black hole thermodynamics, black hole entropy, and the estimation of dark matter and dark energy. While the paper covers an interesting and complex topic, it is poorly written and lacks clarity in several aspects.</p> <p>Firstly, the paper is disorganized and difficult to follow. The author jumps from one topic to another without providing a clear structure or transition. This makes it challenging for the reader to understand the connection between the different topics discussed in the paper. Therefore, the paper needs to be restructured to ensure that the reader can follow the flow of ideas easily.</p> <p>Secondly, the paper is written in a convoluted and technical language that is difficult to comprehend. The author should provide more explanation and use simpler language to make it more accessible to a wider audience. The lack of clarity in language may deter readers from comprehending the content and impact the paper's readability.</p> <p>Lastly, the paper has some scientific inaccuracies that need to be addressed. The author should provide a clear explanation of the scientific concepts discussed and avoid making assumptions or presenting false information. The paper would benefit from additional sources and a more in-depth review of the existing literature on the topic.</p> <p>In conclusion, the paper proposes an interesting concept, but it requires significant revision and restructuring to improve its readability and scientific accuracy. The author should provide more explanations, use simpler language, and organize the content to ensure that readers can comprehend the ideas presented in the paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xingsi Xue
Department, University & Country	School of Computer Science and Mathematics, Fujian University of Technology, China