

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_97739
Title of the Manuscript:	Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists for the treatment of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1 Manuscript Importance:- <i>Over all manuscript is good and informative. It is important to scientific community. Due to their strict inclusion criteria reviewed articles numbers are reduced.</i></p> <p>2 Title : YES</p> <p>3 Abstract: YES.</p> <p>4 Subsections & Structure: YES <i>Formatting Errors need to be checked.</i></p> <p>5 Scientific: YES</p> <p>6 References: YES. Reference No 5, 28, , are incomplete or not as per Vancouver style. Rewrite these references as per Vancouver style.</p> <p>OTHER COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In Figure 1, In Duplicate records N size is not visible in image. Same n size is not seen in final included study. DUE to FORMATTING ERROR. <p>KINDLY VIEW REVIEW COMMENTS MENTIONED IN MANUSCRIPT FILES FOR DETAILS.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Syntax, grammatical and typo/spelling / spacing errors need to be corrected along whole article. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line Spacing Along the whole article is variable. It should be uniform and as per author guidelines. Different types of FONTS are used along whole article. It should be uniform and as per author guidelines. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hiteshkumar M. Chauhan
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, Maharaja Krishnakumarsinhji Bhavnagar University, India