

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_100087
Title of the Manuscript:	Aesthetic Conservative Management of Fluorosis affected Teeth with Resin Infiltration- Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Dear author I would like to thank you for the great effort and work done in this case. Really, I do appreciate dentists who thinks and works in conservative treatment concept. Nowadays, this concept should be one of the major areas for research to keep natural smiles instead of fake ones (made by different materials).</p> <p>The manuscript is accepted however the comments shall be implemented.</p> <p>During my review, some comments are capture. However, these comments don't underrate the effort performed. They are just added, to show the effort done in clear way.</p> <p>So, Kindly check, answer my comments added to your manuscript. It is expected then to update your manuscripts after implementing comments.</p> <p>It is worth also to highlight that formatting required for the journal needs to be taken into consideration.</p> <p>Some paragraphs need to more explanations and clarifications.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Finally, thank you and good luck.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noura Alzayyat
Department, University & Country	The British University in Egypt, Egypt