

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99887
Title of the Manuscript:	“Comparative study of Stretching of Foot & Hip Muscles along with Myofascial release (MFR) V/S Strengthening of Foot & Hip Muscles along with MFR for the Treatment of Plantar Fasciitis ”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, With more variations in approaches, research is mandatory & useful to student community. The author needs to mention his thoughts too. OK, Fair Yes Yes Yes Sufficient for Undergraduate students & Internship students	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fair	
Optional/General comments	Needs to demark the surface anatomy markings, Technique sequence of MFR applied, Pressure pattern and all properly for publication. Wrong skills is dangerous to patient progress & will be misunderstood by readers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Anandh
Department, University & Country	Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth Deemed To Be University, India