

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_99251</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Three-Level Game-Theoretic Trade Credit Model in a Supply Channel</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant for the scientific community. It proposes a credit function to model the players' payoffs. It considered four scenarios and obtained the promotion effort, the credit periods, and payoffs, and shows that margins play a major role in determining the promotion effort. It is a relevant conclusion. The paper is interesting.</p> <p>The Title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>There are no relevant issues related to the references used in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the language used in the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Congrats. The paper is quite interesting.</p> <p>Several minor comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Section Introduction should include how the paper is organized (sections and sub-sections). It would help to understand the structure of the paper from the beginning.</li> <li>2. Include potential future works to improve the model proposed. There is only a mention about the Nash game within the conclusions, however, there is not a proposal to improve the current model proposed and how to use it in different scenarios.</li> <li>3. Some of the paragraphs within the introduction are very long. Could you, please, try to split them in several?</li> <li>4. All the formulas used within the sections 2, 3 and 4 are identified. However, it is not the case within the section 5. Could you, please, fix it?</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose Ramon Coz Fernandez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Spain</b>