

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99911
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE PRESERVATION OF KOKUM (GARCINIA INDICA) RIND JUICE AT AMBIENT STORAGE CONDITION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Appropriate Yes Sufficient but few corrections are suggested: Please check the reference and correct: Krishnamurthy N, Lewis YS and Ravindranath B. yoo. (1982).Chemical constitution of Kokum fruit rind. J. Food Sci. Tech. 19: 97-100 Please include the reference: STUDIES ON SELECTIVE EXTRACTION AND PURIFICATION OF BIOACTIVE COMPOUNDS FROM KOKUM (Garcinia indica) FRUITS USING ALCOHOL BASED AQUEOUS TWO-PHASE SYSTEMS Doctoral Thesis submitted to DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KARNATAKA SURATHKAL, MANGALORE – 575 025 DECEMBER, 2020	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments	Conclusions were not written, please check punctuation marks, spacing etc in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.G. Prabhakara Rao
Department, University & Country	CSIR-CFTRI Resource Centre, India